tion with the advent of aberration correctors. The aberration-corrected ETEM images in Fig. 2, B and C, were therefore analyzed quantitatively as summarized in fig. S6. The imaging of adsorbed molecules of CO was thus further supported (24). As shown in a plan view (Fig. 2E) and a cross-sectional view (Fig. 2F) of this model, the CO molecules were adsorbed at the on-top sites of half the Au atoms in the undulating hexagonal lattice. The adsorption energy per CO molecule for this model was estimated to be 0.26 eV. Surface coverages exceeding 50% in the undulating hexagonal lattice were energetically unfavorable.

Previous theoretical studies (22, 23, 30) examined the adsorption of CO molecules onto unreconstructed GNP surfaces. CO molecules adsorb more strongly than oxygen molecules onto the surface of GNPs. Unlike ordinary Pd and Pt catalysts, it was thought that a flat facet of a GNP cannot accommodate CO molecules in high density (20, 21) because of the intrinsic weak adsorption of a CO molecule and the repulsive interactions between CO molecules. CO molecules were thought to be adsorbed onto low-coordination sites such as steps, edges, and corners more strongly than a flat facet. However, the present aberration-corrected ETEM observation combined with ab initio calculations revealed that the surface structure of the {100} facets undergoes modifications that allow CO molecules to adsorb at higher surface coverages. In the Au{100}-hex reconstructed surface, the Au atoms on the topmost layer have unusual bonding configurations with the Au atoms on the second surface layer (24). The adsorption of CO molecules in high density can be sustained on the reconstructed surface. Reconstruction was not observed on the {111} facet of GNPs during our observations. According to theoretical studies, tensile stress is known to be induced onto the {100} facet more strongly than the {111} facet (31, 32). In addition, the surface energy is higher in the {100} facet than in the {111} facet. Correspondingly, only long-range reconstruction is observed experimentally on the {111} facet (33–35). Therefore, a high affinity for CO adsorption is induced on the {100} facet of GNPs through reconstruction, whereas the stable {111} surface is considered to be oblivious to the presence of CO gas. Hence, along with previous studies, we have now obtained an atomistic view about the adsorption of CO molecules onto the supported GNP catalysts. Accumulated experimental data (36, 37) indicate that the active sites of the GNP catalysts are located at the particle-support periphery where gas species such as O₂ or -OH probably react with CO. The methodology demonstrated in this study has opened an experimental route toward the elucidation of GNP catalytic mechanisms by direct observation of metal atoms and gas species at the particle-support periphery.
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Single-Molecule Lysozyme Dynamics Monitored by an Electronic Circuit
Yongki Choi,1,2* Issa S. Moody,3* Patrick C. Sims,2 Steven R. Hunt,2 Brad L. Corso,2 Israel Perez,2 Gregory A. Weiss,2† Philip G. Collins1,2†

Tethering a single lysozyme molecule to a carbon nanotube field-effect transistor produced a stable, high-bandwidth transducer for protein motion. Electronic monitoring during 10-minute periods extended well beyond the limitations of fluorescence techniques to uncover dynamic disorder within a single molecule and establish lysozyme as a processive enzyme. On average, 100 chemical bonds are processively hydrolyzed, at 15-hertz rates, before lysozyme returns to its nonproductive, 330-hertz hinge motion. Statistical analysis differentiated single-step hinge closure from enzyme opening, which requires two steps. Seven independent time scales governing lysozyme’s activity were observed. The pH dependence of lysozyme activity arises not from changes to its processive kinetics but rather from increasing time spent in either nonproductive rapid motions or an inactive, closed conformation.

Numerous experimental techniques have been developed to probe the dynamics of single molecules (I) and overcome the averaging effects of ensemble measurements. The most common experimental techniques use fluorescence, encoding molecular motions and/or chemical reactions into a highly amplified photon flux that can be monitored and analyzed (2, 3). We show that single-molecule dynamics can be monitored by attaching the molecule of interest to a field-effect transistor (FET) device (Fig. 1A). Signal amplification was achieved by allowing the charged functionalities on the surface of the protein to electrostatically gate the underlying FET. By exploiting the low dimensionality and extreme local gate sensitivity of single-walled carbon nanotube (SWNT) FETs, molecular motions create changes in electrostatic potentials that can be converted into dynamically changing electric fields.
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To minimize potential perturbations to the enzyme, lysozyme was not conjugated to a His$_6$ epitope, and was instead purified to >95% homogeneity by cation exchange followed by size exclusion chromatography. Standard biochemical conjugation protocols, followed by a strict rinsing protocol to minimize nonspecific binding, readily produced lysozyme attachments with a mean separation of 0.5 μm along the SWNT. Matching this empirical spacing to the SWNT channel length is a surprisingly simple method that produced active single-molecule devices in 8 out of 10 fabrication attempts.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) before functionalization and after completion of the measurements (Fig. 1B) confirmed the presence of a single attached lysozyme. Lysozyme is ~7 nm in size, which made it easily distinguishable when attached to a 1- to 2-nm-diameter SWNT [see fig. S3 for additional examples and height profiles (25)]. In addition to microscopy, electrical characterization was performed at each fabrication stage. Figure 1C shows the gate dependence of the source-drain current ($I_{D}$) in a completed lysozyme device, measured with aqueous electrolyte (phosphate-buffered saline) in direct contact with the SWNT sidewall (28). The shape of this curve reflects SWNT band structure, contact resistance effects, and the role of scattering induced by the pyrenes and attached lysozyme; these issues are discussed in greater detail in the supporting online material (SOM) (25). In general, the coating technique added 1 to 2 megohms of series resistance to the SWNT conductor and shifts its $I_{D}$ curve, in accord with previous reports (4, 29, 30). Finally, Fig. 1D displays the typical device response ($I(t)$; $V_g = 0$) upon introduction of the lysozyme substrate, peptidoglycan (Sigma-Aldrich). A polysaccharide found in bacterial cell walls, peptidoglycan consists of N-acetylmuramate (NAM)–N-acetylglucosamine (NAG) repeating units, and lysozyme catalyzes the hydrolysis of its glycosidic bonds (31). Chemosensitive responses of this nature are widely reported for nanodevices, and SWNT conductance can be quite sensitive to slight environmental changes, even when they are not decorated with proteins (32–34).

The time-averaged, DC response depicted in Fig. 1D underlies the classification of such devices as chemical or biological "sensors." However, analysis of the dynamic response, not the DC level, can provide insights into conformational changes of the attached single protein. The magnitude of $I(t)$ fluctuations increased immediately when peptidoglycan substrate was added, and, after one or more seconds of equilibration, these fluctuations developed into a two-level, random telegraph signal (RTS) that can be statistically analyzed. Control experiments probing bare SWNTs [fig. S4 (25)] and lysozyme-free, pyrene-coated SWNTs [fig. S5 (25)] revealed no RTS response to peptidoglycan substrate; further controls included 12 devices fabricated with either of two, inactive variants of lysozyme [fig. S6 (25)], none of which exhibited RTS signals.

In every experiment, the presence or absence of RTS fluctuations was a reliable predictor of the simultaneous presence of the peptidoglycan substrate and a SWNT-bound, catalytically functional variant of lysozyme.

Figure 2A shows 30 s of raw data collected from a single lysozyme device, along with the time-varying mean computed with a 10-Hz digital filter. The lowest-frequency fluctuations had a 1/f spectral dependence and were indistinguishable from the noise incurred by a pristine SWNT in solution. Removing this slowly changing component, as shown in Fig. 2B, greatly simplified further analysis. The higher-frequency components were revealed to be a two-level RTS with a constant amplitude distribution but two dis-
distinct RTS fluctuation rates. During some time periods, the RTS oscillated with a “fast” mean frequency of 316 Hz (Fig. 2C). At other times, the RTS oscillated with a “slow” mean frequency of 15.4 Hz (Fig. 2D). The data in Fig. 2C are colored to differentiate the two types of RTS response and to emphasize their typical durations. Both the fast and the slow RTS segments lasted many seconds, suggestive of a long-term, two-state “memory” that was independent of the RTS itself. Accurate determination of the mean duration of this memory effect $\langle t_{\text{mem}} \rangle$ required that measurements be conducted for at least 600 s.

Figures 2A and B show long-duration fluctuations in Fig. 3A; the color scheme corresponds to the data in Fig. 2, B to D. All four distributions were well fit by single exponential time constants for periods of analysis shorter than $\langle t_{\text{mem}} \rangle$. Analysis of much longer time periods resulted in biexponential distributions (Fig. 3, C and D) and reflected the presence in data sets extending over hundreds of seconds of many sequences of both fast and slow RTS fluctuations. However, the fast and slow rates were sufficiently different that they appeared as two distinguishable slopes in Fig. 3, C and D. As a guide, blue and green colors have been applied to portions of the distribution that correspond to the fast and slow fluctuations, respectively.

Figure 3, C and D, further provide a comparison of the probability distributions acquired at different pH values. The stability of the lysozyme devices allowed statistics to be accumulated for many minutes at each pH, all from the same attached enzyme. Figure 3C shows that $\tau_{\text{lo}}$ was nearly independent over a pH range from 5 to 11; outside this pH range, lysozyme was no longer catalytically active (35–37). Figure 3D, however, shows that $\tau_{\text{hi}}$ is much faster at pH 7 than at pH 5 or 11. The pH dependence of $\tau_{\text{lo}}$ in the long-duration, slow-switching region of the histogram, being much longer than the other three time constants, dominates the time-averaged properties of the lysozyme molecule.

Two important physical parameters could be calculated from the mean values of $\langle \tau_{\text{lo}} \rangle$ and $\langle \tau_{\text{hi}} \rangle$. Their sum represents one complete oscillation and defines a mean turnover rate for the activity, $k = (\langle \tau_{\text{lo}} \rangle + \langle \tau_{\text{hi}} \rangle)^{-1}$. The calculation of enzymatic reaction rates from single-molecule data has been reviewed by Xie (38). The ratio of $\langle \tau_{\text{lo}} \rangle$ and $\langle \tau_{\text{hi}} \rangle$ also determines an energy separation, $\Delta E$, between the two physical states responsible for the high and low $f(t)$ values. Boltzman statistics provide the relative thermodynamic probability of being in one state versus the other as $\Delta E = k_B T \ln(\langle \tau_{\text{hi}} \rangle / \langle \tau_{\text{lo}} \rangle)$. In total, we identified five independent parameters $\langle \tau_{\text{lo}} \rangle$, $\langle \tau_{\text{hi}} \rangle$, $\langle t_{\text{mem}} \rangle$, $k$, and $\Delta E$, all of which change when the lysozyme switches from its fast RTS state to its slow RTS state.

Table 1 summarizes these parameters and their pH dependence for a single lysozyme molecule.

Table 1 further lists the overall percentage of time spent in the fast or slow RTS states. This percentage is yet another independent parameter, being a nontrivial combination of the duration $\langle t_{\text{mem}} \rangle$ and the regularity with which each behavior is observed. At pH 7, $\langle t_{\text{mem}} \rangle$ was nearly equal for the fast and the slow RTS state, and the time in either state approached 50%. At nonneutral pH values, however, multiple changes skewed this balance. First, the number of inactive periods in which no switching was observed nearly doubled. This doubling, amplified by a modest increase in $\langle t_{\text{mem}} \rangle$ of the inactive duration, resulted in a rapidly growing proportion of total inactive time. Moreover, we observed that inactive periods always interrupted sequences of fast RTS oscillations and doubled the number of fast RTS intervals observed while only modestly decreasing their duration $\langle t_{\text{mem}} \rangle$. The increased time spent in inactive or fast RTS intervals both came at a cost to the percentage of time spent in the slow-switching RTS state, which was reduced to 19.7% at pH 11 and 15.7% at pH 5. This decrease occurred despite a substantial increase in $\langle t_{\text{mem}} \rangle$, which considered in isolation would indicate an improving stability of the slow RTS state. We further note that the fast and slow RTS oscillation rates $k$ both decreased by 25 to 35% away from pH 7; because they both decreased proportionally, the $k$ values only minimally contributed to the pH dependence of the time spent in the fast or slow RTS states.

The conductance signal of a single lysozyme device allowed us to directly determine multiple independent parameters, including seven independent time constants and their pH dependence, all without foreknowledge of the properties of either the enzyme or the SWNT. Out of 50 single-
molecule devices, the presence of fast and slow RTS components was very reproducible, although there were variations in the numerical rates observed. For example, among seven lysozyme devices with high-quality signal-to-noise ratios, the rates of the slow RTS state at pH 7 varied from 10 to 50 Hz, with a mean \( k = 24 \pm 15 \) Hz. The rate of the fast RTS state varied from 127 to 461 Hz, with a mean \( k = 284 \pm 127 \) Hz.

Next, we applied these empirical observations to examine the mechanism and catalytic activity of lysozyme, through detailed analysis of the electronic device signal from lysozyme-tethered nanocircuits. As noted above and demonstrated in figs. S4 to S6 (25), the RTS can be ascribed to the presence of protein-substrate interactions. By comparing the \( I(t) \) signal to lysozyme dynamics known from ensemble and single-molecule fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) experiments (39–42), we can draw several parallels (e.g., lysozyme remains static in the absence of substrate). During substrate processing, lysozyme undergoes an 8 Å, hinge-like mechanical motion with two domains closing around the substrate (41–45). FRET observations reveal that this motion occurs at two different rates: a slow hinge oscillation of 20 to 90 Hz corresponding to enzymatic turnover events, or else a more rapid, nonproductive movement at 200 to 400 Hz (37, 41, 42).

These FRET rates are in excellent agreement with our fast RTS and slow RTS oscillations, and the interconversion rate matches our \( \langle \tau_{\text{mem}} \rangle \) values. Thus, we conclude that the two-level electronic signal is caused by the lysozyme hinge motion, with slow RTS oscillations resulting from the transduction of catalytic turnover events and fast RTS oscillations corresponding to lysozyme’s nonproductive binding events. These kinetic rates obtained with surface-bound lysozyme might differ from bulk rates, but FRET measurements with freely diffusing lysozyme and surface-bound peptidoglycan (40) yield the same range of rates, suggesting that the consequences of tethering the lysozyme to a surface are minor.

The agreement demonstrates the equivalence of the lysozyme device data with FRET measurements, but in other ways the SWNT-lysozyme device data are more informative. Fluorophore bleaching and quenching limit the duration of FRET measurements on a single molecule and constrain the ability to observe slow conformational interconversions by FRET (37). The \( I(t) \) measurement duration is not similarly limited, and the \( \langle \tau_{\text{mem}} \rangle \) values in Table 1 represent hundreds of such events by the same single molecule. Using such long time scales, we directly observed the same molecule changing from its productive conformation to its unproductive one. We also obtained the average percentage time spent in the slow RTS state, which when multiplied by \( k \) gave a time-averaged, effective catalytic rate for the single molecule. By collecting a true average over many conformational changes, this single-molecule rate approached the kinetics of an ensemble (Table 1). Furthermore, our \( I(t) \) records extend this single-molecule insight to different pH conditions.

Measurements of a long-duration \( \langle \tau_{\text{mem}} \rangle \) support a processive catalytic mechanism in which each lysozyme hydrolyzes on average 100 glycosidic bonds before dissociation and reassociation of the substrate (40). During the slow RTS state, no breaks in activity were observed, and no product dissociation and substrate rebinding occurred. Furthermore, the substrate remained bound during both nonproductive fast RTS and the substrate-bound inactive state before returning to processing.

Our long-duration data sets also enable analysis of the statistical variance of the \( \tau_{\text{lo}} \) and \( \tau_{\text{hi}} \) values. Any single-step Poisson process has a statistical variance \( \sigma^2 = \langle \tau \rangle^2 \), and the normalized variance

\[
r = \frac{\sigma^2}{\langle \tau \rangle^2} = \frac{\sum (\tau_i - \langle \tau \rangle)^2}{\sum \tau_i^2}
\]

is a powerful tool in single-molecule studies for distinguishing hidden intermediate steps along a reaction coordinate (46–48). As shown in Table 2, analysis of individual \( \tau_{\text{lo}} \) durations concludes that \( r \approx 1 \), indicating that the physical processes underlying the transition from \( I_{\text{lo}} \) to \( I_{\text{hi}} \) is in fact governed by a simple, single-step Poisson pro-

---

**Fig. 3.** (**A and B**) Direct comparison of the probability distributions of the high state \( \langle \tau_{\text{lo}} \rangle \) and low state \( \langle \tau_{\text{hi}} \rangle \) durations during (A) fast and (B) slow RTS switching at pH 7. Both pairs of distributions derive from uninterrupted, 15-s data sets, though many fewer counts occur during the slower activity represented by (B). (**C and D**) Probability distributions of continuous, 300-s data sets include as many as \( 10^5 \) transitions extending over many conversions between fast and slow RTS fluctuations. The inclusion of both fast and slow RTS sequences produces distinct, double-exponential distributions. Color has been applied to portions of the distributions in (A) to (D) to highlight correspondences with Fig. 2. Measurements at three different pH values show that the high-current state has almost no pH dependence (C). By contrast, the low-current state is at least 25% faster at pH 7 than at pH 5 or 11 (D).
By contrast, the physical process underlying the transition from open to closed conformation moves lysozyme’s hinge-bending motion. The change from open to closed conformations moves lysozyme’s charge residues (31). The surrounding electrolyte, which has a Debye length of 0.8 nm, screens most of the residues but not two, positively charged residues, Lys (32) and Arg (31), that are located on the protein surface within 1.0 to 1.2 nm of the SWNT attachment site. Both of these residues lie close enough to the SWNT that their movement can electrostatically gate the channel conductance (32–34), according to the mechanism most often attributed to similar sensing experiments (6, 29, 55).

To test the applicability of this mechanism, we compared the transduction by different SWNT devices. Using the average slope $dI/dV_g$ indicated in Fig. 1C, one can convert the RTS magnitude $\Delta V_g$ of a fluctuation into an effective swing in the applied gate voltage, $\Delta V_g = \Delta (dI/dV_g)^{-1}$. For the device in Fig. 2, for example, $\Delta V_g = 5 \text{nA}$ and $\Delta V_g = 0.20 \text{V}$. Table S2 summarizes device properties of five semiconducting SWNT devices and five metallic ones, each having a different contact resistance and mean current (25). For these 10 devices, the switching magnitudes $\Delta V$ vary from 3 to 300%, but the calculated values $\Delta V_g$ are all narrowly clustered around $\Delta V_g = 0.19 \pm 0.02 \text{V}$. This reproducibility in $\Delta V_g$ demonstrates that the signal transduction mechanism is indeed electrostatic, with every protein-pyrene attachment modulating its underlying SWNT channel with the same effective field. Metallic SWNTs display the smallest $\Delta V_g$ signals, but only because of their modest sensitivity to gating.

It would be a mistake, however, to infer that the lysozyme is gating the entire SWNT, or to convert $\Delta V_g$ into a carrier concentration. The pyrene-protein attachment site is a highly localized scattering center that, because the SWNT is quasi-one dimensional, can modulate the entire channel conductance. The resistance increase that occurs upon conjugation (table S2) is believed to be concentrated primarily at the attachment site, similar to the case of a defect being introduced (17–19). Scanning probe measurements prove these local scattering sites to be strongly gate-dependent conduction barriers (56). During substrate binding and release, the charged side chains of Lys83 and Arg119 move by 1 to 2 Å relative to the SWNT (41–44, 57). This motion is sufficient to modulate the local chemical potential in the SWNT and account for our observed $\Delta V_g$ (56).

This nanocircuit architecture is complementary to more traditional fluorescence techniques, but with the advantages that fluorescent labels are not required, the transduction mechanism does not bleach, and electronic bandwidths extend temporal resolution into the single-microsecond regime. These advantages provide a framework for exploring dynamics of other molecules with charged functionalities.
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Table 1. Lysozyme activity rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>pH 5</th>
<th>pH 7</th>
<th>pH 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{hi}}$ (ms)</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{lo}}$ (ms)</td>
<td>87 ± 3.0</td>
<td>64 ± 2.0</td>
<td>86 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E$ (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$ (Hz)</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle \tau_{\text{mean}} \rangle$ (s)</td>
<td>9.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>8.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>12.0 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% time in state</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-averaged catalytic rate (Hz)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonproductive (fast)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle \tau_{\text{hi}} \rangle$ (ms)</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle \tau_{\text{lo}} \rangle$ (ms)</td>
<td>4.80 ± 0.35</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3.90 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E$ (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$ (Hz)</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle \tau_{\text{mean}} \rangle$ (s)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% time in state</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle \tau_{\text{mean}} \rangle$ (s)</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.63</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% time in state</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Normalized variances of lysozyme rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>pH 5</th>
<th>pH 7</th>
<th>pH 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r_{\text{hi}}$</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{\text{lo}}$</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonproductive (fast)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{\text{hi}}$</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{\text{lo}}$</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Destruction of Sun-Grazing Comet C/2011 N3 (SOHO) Within the Low Solar Corona

C. J. Schrijver,*1 J. C. Brown,² K. Battams,³ P. Saint-Hilaire,⁴ W. Liu,¹,⁵ H. Hudson,²,⁴ and W. D. Pesnell⁶

Observations of comets in Sun-grazing orbits that survive solar insolation long enough to penetrate into the Sun’s inner corona provide information on the solar atmosphere and magnetic field as well as on the makeup of the comet. On 6 July 2011, the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) observed the demise of comet C/2011 N3 (SOHO) within the low solar corona in five wavelength bands in the extreme ultraviolet (EUV). The comet penetrated to within 0.146 solar radius (~100,000 kilometers) of the solar surface before its EUV signal disappeared. Before that, material released into the coma—at first seen in absorption—formed a variable EUV-bright tail. During the final 10 minutes of observation by SDO’s Atmospheric Imaging Assembly, ~6 × 10⁸ to 6 × 10¹⁰ grams of total mass was lost (corresponding to an effective nucleus diameter of ~10 to 50 meters), as estimated from the tail’s deceleration due to interaction with the surrounding coronal material; the EUV absorption by the comet and the brightness of the tail suggest that the mass was at the high end of this range. These observations provide evidence that the nucleus had broken up into a family of fragments, resulting in accelerated sublimation in the Sun’s intense radiation field.

During its 15 years of operation, the Large Angle and Spectromatic Coronograph (LASCO) (1) onboard the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) has observed more than 2000 comets as they approached the Sun. The population of Sun-grazing comets is dominated by the Kreutz group, which orbit to within one to two solar radii from the solar surface with orbital periods of 500 to 1000 years. More than 1400 of the comets seen by SOHO are members of this group, making it the largest known group of comets, likely originating from the breakup of a progenitor body as recently as 2500 years ago (2). Only the largest of the Kreutz-group comets [with diameters up to ~100 m (2)] have survived closest approach (perihelion), and SOHO never witnessed such a survivor (3) until sungrazing comet Lovejoy emerged after its perihelion passage on the Sun’s far side on 15–16 December 2011. Most of the destruction occurred well before perihelion, but some occurred after the comet disappeared behind the occulting disk of the coronagraph. None could be followed into the Sun’s lower atmosphere.

Here, we report on the observed destruction of comet C/2011 N3 (SOHO) within the solar atmosphere. We use EUV images obtained with the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly [AIA (4)] on the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO), which show different parts of the comet in absorption and in emission against the background EUV emission from the hot (~1 × 10⁶ to 3 × 10⁶ K) outer solar atmosphere (the corona). AIA images the entire visible hemisphere of the Sun, including its off-disc corona, at 12-s intervals for sets of eight distinct (E)UV channels, at a resolution of ~1.2 arc sec, and with a high signal-to-noise ratio achieved with exposures no longer than 2.3 s. The comet’s speed of ~600 km/s caused blurring in the AIA exposures that is only slightly in excess of the instrumental resolution. This detailed view of the solar corona enabled us to track the comet’s tail and to determine the comet’s orbit across much of the solar disk until it faded within 20 min of its first appearance. From Fig. 1 and movies S1 and S2, it appears that the comet’s nucleus had fragmented.

The comet C/2011 N3 (SOHO) was first seen in AIA’s 171 Å EUV channel while it was ~0.2 solar radii in projection off the solar limb, roughly at 5 July 2011 23:46 UTC (all times are given in UTC for photon arrival times at SDO, in geosynchronous orbit). The comet could be tracked in AIA images until approximately 6 July 2011 00:55:50, when it faded from all five EUV channels in which it was visible (131, 171, 193, 211, and 335 Å). Observations made by the Extreme-Ultraviolet Imager (EUVI) on the Solar-Terrestrial Relations Observatory [STEREO (5)] B show a faint signal of the comet high above the solar surface from its near-quadrature view relative to the Sun-Earth line (and thus against a background coronal emission weaker than from AIA’s perspective by nearly a factor of 10) up to